



Q25-020 - Mill Courtland Community Centre Addition

Addendum #2

March 27, 2025

This Addendum is issued for the purpose of clarifying, amending or revising information contained in the Contract Documents.

NEW: CLOSING DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 1:00:59 PM

Addendum 3 for the final remaining questions will be released Tuesday April 1, 2025.

Please note the following changes to the above noted solicitation:

ADDITIONAL SCOPE FOR DATA AND COMMUNICATION CONTRACTORS:

- Provide and install 6 strand single mode fiber from Electrical room 114 to the new cabinet in Pantry room 115. CommScope/Systimax part numbers below:
 - P-006-DS-8W-FSUYL Fibre 760004333
 - HD-1U Rack mounted connector housing 760209940
 - 360-G2-12LC-SM-BL Fibre Cassette 760109272
 - SFC-LCF-09-8X Quik II SM Connector
 - WB2-EMT-2P-MOD Wall Mount connector Housing
 - FEWLALA42-JXF007 SM Patch Cable 7'

Please see the City of Kitchener prequalified data / communications contractors for this project.

1. Roberts Onsite Inc.
John Seath
Project Manager
Structured Cabling Group
Roberts Onsite

519-578-2440 .231
519-578-2441
jseath@robertsonsite.ca

2. ACP Communications Technologies Inc.
David Linton
ACP Communications Technologies 6-885 Main Street East Milton, ON L9T 5A7
dlinton@networkacp.com
(T) 905-876-4026 (F) 905-876-4697 Cell 416-200-0854
Visit ACP online at www.networkacp.com

3. Idea Networks
George Chapman
Consultant
1515 Matheson Blvd E.
Unit B10
Mississauga, ON
L4W 2P5
O: 905-790-3900
M: 647-637-4332
www.ideanetworks.com

Specifications – Available in the bidding system

1. SECTION 07 42 30 SOLID PHENOLIC WALL PANELS
 - a. Refer to the attached updated specification.
2. SECTION 08 71 10 DOOR HARDWARE
 - a. Refer to the attached updated hardware specifications
3. SECTION 10 22 39 FOLDING PANEL PARTITIONS
 - a. Refer to the attached updated specification.
4. SECTION 04 27 00 MULTIPLE WYTHE UNIT MASONRY
 - a. Refer to the attached updated specification.

General - Available in the bidding system

5. Mechanical and Electrical Addendum #1
 - a. Refer to the attached Mechanical and Electrical Addendum #1 dated March 26, 2025
6. MCCC Interior & Exterior Signage Design Package
 - a. Refer to the attached MCCC Interior & Exterior signage design package

Question 1:

Could you kindly confirm if the signage requirement for this project pertains to the exterior building signage, specifically the stainless-steel logo and name? If so, could you provide the size and thickness specifications?

Answer 1: Refer to the attached Interior and Exterior signage package with additional information and specifications regarding interior and exterior signage.

Question 2:

Section 085000, Door Hardware.

For both the interior and exterior doors. It is noted as deadbolt lock and rim panics, controller locking system and electric strikes. Could you clarify exactly what is required as this combo doesn't make sense.

Answer 2: Refer to the attached update hardware specifications prepared by Knell's Door & Hardware.

Question 3:

Section 085000, Door Hardware.

Do you require a removable mullion for the pairs of doors?

OR

Is all the door hardware from a hardware consultant and supply company?

Answer 3: Yes, we require removable mullions at pairs of doors. A hardware consultant has prepared the hardware specification and can also supply the hardware.

Question 4:

Section 085000, Door Hardware.

Could you clarify Aluminum finish. A) clear anodized or B) black anodized...both are listed.

Answer 4: Refer to the attached updated hardware specifications prepared by Knell's Door & Hardware.

Question 5:

Can you please provide further detail on the engineered timber trusses we are to match on drawing 2/S202. Please provide the following truss details.

1. Span
2. Slope
3. Overhang length.

Answer 5: GC is to site verify all dimensions of existing engineered timber trusses and provide the consultant with stamped shop drawings for review. Timber truss is to match existing. Design loads are provided on S202.

Question 6:

We are requesting a 2 part closing for the price (1st) and the breakdowns/subtrade list for 2nd. 1pm and 2pm.

Answer 6: This request has been denied.

Question 7:

Clarify P.Lam #1 vs #2 on A802-804, not specified.

Answer 7: Refer to millwork finishes legend on architectural drawing sheet A801 or Specification section 06 40 00 Architectural Woodwork.

Question 8:

Confirm elevation given on foundation plan is to u/s of footing

Answer 8: Yes, elevations on the foundation plan are to underside of footings to finished grade.

Question 9:

Specification Section 09 65 66.13 – Resilient Athletic Flooring

Proposed Alternate: Prosol Athletica, an indoor sport and multi-purpose flooring with heavy duty wear layer and PUR protection. Meets ASTM F2772 standard, level classification C2. 5.0mm thickness, 0.90 mm wear layer.

Spec Link: <https://cyberbox-product-medias.s3.ca-central-1.amazonaws.com/documents/products/d659192f-1c4a-11ee-be58-f6968cef729a/e78bf00d-ae99-11ee-8606-f6968cef729a>

Answer 9: *The alternative product proposed is not acceptable because it does not meet the minimum wear layer thickness requirement.*

Question 10:

Is it a requirement that the entire project site have temporary fencing at the full site perimeter during construction?

Answer 10: *Refer to specification section 01 56 00 Temporary Barriers and Enclosures for specifics for fencing of the full site.*

Question 11:

Geotech report indicates the ex. Granular sub-base is unsuitable due to silt content exceedances. Please confirm that existing granular material cannot be reused and that all existing granular subgrade is to be removed and disposed off-site as excess soil.

Answer 11: *Confirmed existing granular subbase shall be removed and disposed of in accordance with the geotechnical report.*

Question 12:

Does City of Kitchener have a soil disposal site available for this project?

Answer 12: *The City of Kitchener does not have a soil disposal site available for this project.*

Question 13:

Can CASH allowance for independent inspection and testing be used for soil tests for disposal? Or is contractor to carry soil tests in base bid.

Answer 13: *The contractor is to carry the cost of the soil tests.*

Question 14:

Geotech report specifies base asphalt to be placed in 2025 due to the existing pavement structure not being capable to support construction traffic. Surface asphalt can then be placed in 2026, (1) year after trench backfill has been completed. Please confirm this is the intention.

Answer 14: *The intent is for both base and surface coats to be applied on level compacted subbase in 2025 or 2026.*

Question 15:

If surface asphalt is to be placed in 2026, will there be an allowance for AC index?

Answer 15: *No, there will not be an allowance for AC index.*

Question 16:

Please confirm if tack coat is required on the base asphalt.

Answer 16: *Yes, tack coat is required on the base asphalt.*

Question 17:

Please confirm if we can use Granular B type I for pavement subgrade, drawings show Granular B Type II (crusher run limestone)

Answer 17: *Granular "B" Type I is acceptable.*

Question 18:

Please clarify what the intention is for the trees along the existing wooden fence adjacent to the asphalt drive lane (northwest property line). Their roots would appear to be within the pavement substructure. There does not appear to be much room to complete the new subgrade, concrete curbs and asphalt in this area.

Answer 18: *Intent is for these trees to remain. Low impact excavation methods to be performed as required.*

Question 19:

Please provide a detail for the extension of the existing 25mm water service at ex. Shed that is to be extended to the new storage shed (DWG M103).

Answer 19: *Refer to the attached Mechanical and Electrical Addendum #1 dated March 26, 2025 prepared by DEI Consulting Engineers.*

Question 20:

Alternate for Security Shutters Request: Can we get Pentagon or Talus shutters as alternates? These are Canadian made and have a manual operation that is not a chain hoist.

Talius: <https://www.talius.com/roll-shutters/>

Pentagon: <https://pentagonshutters.com/solution/defendaguard/>

Answer 20: *The alternative proposed does not match the design intent of the product originally specified. Refer to specification section 08 33 26 item 2.1 (.2) for equivalent products*

Question 21:

Could you please verify whether the contingency allowance of \$300,000.00 should be included or excluded in the (Price schedule - Item 2) through the bids and tenders submission? I see that this amount is automatically added to the Subtotal Contract Amount Total on the bids and tenders schedule of prices.

Answer 21: *The Contingency Allowance is automatically included in the bidders subtotal.*

Question 22:

There does not appear to be a place to upload a bid bond, agreement to bond or insurance documents on the bidding site. Is this required? If so, please provide.

Answer 22: *The City of Kitchener does not use bid bonds on any project. Only Performance and L&M. Insurance documents will be collected from the successful respondent only.*

Question 23:

Will the City require Pollution Insurance coverage for this project? Please specify limits if so required.

Answer 23: *Pollution insurance is not required on this RFQ.*

Question 24:

Will you accept the EarthBin Silo (EB500T) waste system as an alternate to that spec'd?
<https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fo/ih95ip59nycdpxsbegfcg/AAYEdawU1E7O0ZiUhSi7uV4?rlkey=lrkuq2hvvqrolvwanphw5qdccp&e=1&st=222b8sh3&dl=0>

Answer 24: No alternatives are acceptable for this product.

Question 25:

Addendum #1 , Question #3 specifies a fire rated door grille. Please clarify the location, size and quantity of grilles, we could not find any information on the Door Schedule.

Answer 25: There are no fire rated door grilles required in this project. Non-rated door grilles are required at doors 119 and 122.

Question 26:

Please consider a one-week extension to the tender closing.

Answer 26: The City will grant a one week extension for review of the final addenda and finalizing bids. The Question period is to remain closed.

Question 27:

Section 7/S502, slab on deck infill. We could not find were this detail is applicable, please clarify.

Answer 27: Refer to 1/S202 for roof deck infill.

Question 28:

Please advise if there are other suppliers available for the Perforated Screen?

Answer 28: Hendrick Architectural H-Clad MD System was the basis of design for the Perforated Metal screen. The contractor can propose an alternative if the product meets or exceeds the performance/design criteria of the product originally specified. Provide cutsheets for review by the consultant.

Question 29:

I would like to propose using an Aluminum Perforated Plate from Wellington Perforated Sheets, with powder coating, as an alternative. For reference, you can visit their website at <https://wellingtonperforated.ca/>. The installation would involve one perforated plate, attached to the structural posts at three points, and covered with trim on all sides.

Answer 29: The Wellington Perforated Sheets appear to be an acceptable alternative. The contractor is to provide connection details at the shop drawing phase for review.

Respondents shall acknowledge receipt of all addenda through the City's bidding system prior to submitting their Bid.