



**York Regional Police**  
47 Don Hillock Dr.  
Aurora, ON L4G 0S7  
TF: 1-866-876-5423

## **Addendum # 6**

**Dated: October 01, 2024**

### **Bid Opportunity: T-24-33 - General Contractor for the Construction of a Helicopter Hangar and Related Interior and Exterior Spaces in the Town of East Gwillimbury, Ontario**

**Closing Date: Tuesday, October 15, 2024 2:00 PM**

---

All Bidders are advised by this addendum to incorporate the following changes/clarifications to the above noted Document.

#### **1. Intent:**

- a. This Addendum is issued during Bidding and shall form part of Bidding and Contract Documents for above Project.
- b. Except as otherwise specified herein, or as shown on accompanying Drawings and Sketches, work required by this Addendum shall be in accordance with specifications dated September 9, 2024, and Drawings accompanying same and previously issued Addendum (if any).
- c. To maintain traceability of questions, the numbering remains consistent with the sequence assigned in previous addendum(s). For clarity and brevity, any question numbers missing from this addendum have been addressed in prior addendum(s) and are omitted.

#### **2. Extension of Closing Date:**

The Closing Time has been extended from 2:00:00 p.m. local time on Tuesday, October 8th, 2024 to prior to 2:00:00 p.m. local time on Tuesday, October 15th, 2024.

#### **3. Drawing Revisions:**

##### **1.1 ARCHITECTURAL**

##### **.1 Drawing A-005**

- .1 Update to legends and assemblies.

##### **.2 Drawing A-110**

- .1 Security fixtures located.
- .2 Fire extinguishers and cabinets added.
- .3 Partition types adjusted.

##### **.3 Drawing A-115**

- .1 Gypsum ceiling removed from Janitor's Closet
- .2 Gypsum ceiling added to Storage Room 2.
- .3 Security camera locations added.
- .4 Drawing A-300**
  - .1 Cameras and light fixtures added.
- .5 Drawing A-400**
  - .1 Slab updated.
- .6 Drawing A-402**
  - .1 Foundations and slabs updated.
- .7 Drawing A-403**
  - .1 Slab updated.
- .8 Drawing A-500**
  - .1 Parapet detail updated.
- .9 Drawing A-800**
  - .1 Door Schedule updated.
- .10 Specification Section 07 52 16**
  - .1 Changes indicated in red.
- .11 Specification Section 07 54 23**
  - .1 Changes indicated in red.
- .12 Specification Section 07 62 00**
  - .1 Changes indicated in red.
- .13 Specification Section 08 34 16**
  - .1 Changes indicated in red.
- .14 Specification Section 08 51 50**
  - .1 Changes indicated in red.
- .15 Specification Section 12 35 71**
  - .1 Changes indicated in red.
- .16 Specification Section 13 34 24**
  - .1 Changes indicated in red.
- 1.2 MECHANICAL**
  - .1 Drawing M-100**
    - .1 Revised information.
  - .2 Drawing M-150**
    - .1 Added gas meter assembly.
    - .2 Adjusted pipe sizes.
  - .3 Drawing M-250**
    - .1 Revised information.

- .2 Added gas meter.
- .4 Drawing M-251**
  - .1 Added gas meter assembly.
  - .2 Added compressor, pipes, and outlets.
- .5 Drawing M-252**
  - .1 Updated in floor piping routes.
- .6 Drawing M-351**
  - .1 Unit heater in the Janitor's room changed to an electrical baseboard heater.
  - .2 Motorized dampers added to the exhaust fan in Storage Room 3.
  - .3 Added condensate lines for FCU's.
- .7 Drawing M-551**
  - .1 Revised sprinkler piping layout.
- .8 Drawing M-702**
  - .1 Control valves added.
- .9 Drawing M-704**
  - .1 Gas schematic has been revised.
  - .2 Gas meter schematic revised.
- .10 Drawing M-751**
  - .1 Sequence of operations added to sheet.
- .11 Drawing M-753**
  - .1 Control diagram has been revised.
- .12 Drawing M-754**
  - .1 Control diagram has been revised.
- .13 Drawing M-755**
  - .1 Added ASHP control diagram.
- .14 Drawing M-807**
  - .1 Condensate line details added.
- .15 Drawing M-900**
  - .1 Revised schedule
- .16 Drawing M-901**
  - .1 Revised schedule
- .17 Drawing M-902**
  - .1 Added plumbing fixture cut sheets.
- .18 Drawing M-903**
  - .1 Added plumbing fixture cut sheets.
- .19 Specification Section 23 83 17**
  - .1 Changes indicated in blue

### **1.3 ELECTRICAL**

#### **.1 Drawing E-001**

- .1 Added new drawing showing the proposed ductbank connecting to the existing Training Facility electrical room.

#### **.2 Drawing E-200**

- .1 Revisions to light fixture locations and quantities.

#### **.3 Drawing E-300**

- .1 Addition of security devises.

#### **.4 Drawing E-301**

- .1 Updated roof plan.

#### **.5 Drawing E-700**

- .1 Added feed and conduit sizes.

#### **.6 Drawing E-800**

- .1 Added new details.

#### **.7 Drawing E-802**

- .1 Added generator pad details

#### **.8 Drawing E-805**

- .1 Added surge protection detail.

#### **.9 Drawing E-900**

- .1 Clarified quantities on the schedules for convenience only. Plans take precedence and reflect the design intent.

#### **.10 Drawing E-901**

- .1 Removed the second 208V panel.

#### **.11 Specification Section 26 27 26**

- .1 Added section.

#### **.12 Specification Section 28 08 46**

- .1 Changes indicated with legal blackline.

#### **.13 Specification Section 28 46 21**

- .1 Added section.

#### **Question 1:**

I have noticed that this is a single stage closing which requires us to provide lump sum tender price, plus separate prices, and unit prices.

We respectfully ask that if you require all the additional information above, you revise this to a two-stage process, with the second closing 24 hours later. In the first stage we will provide you a lump sum bid for the work, and in the second stage we will provide you the separate, itemized, unit prices. The reason for the above request as follows:

We often receive our lowest bids within minutes of closing thus we don't know who the low sub-contractors will be until this point. It is virtually impossible for us to fill out the information you are requesting at time of tender. In our experience, the owner will receive the lowest price and best value on their tender call if they provide us a 2-part closing.

It is almost guaranteed that if you do not consider the above request, you will receive fewer bids, and

those who do bid will not be able to provide you their best possible price as they are focused on completing the supplemental information, rather than closing with the lowest numbers. I will not confirm if we are bidding prior to receiving a response on the above.

***Answer 1: Still under review. A final response to this question will be provided in a subsequent addendum***

**Question 15:**

The timeline of 165 days to substantial is very tight considered the design of the pre-eng building is not complete and permit approval is based on the design submission. There is also a liquidated damage clause if substantial completion is not achieved. It is not fair to the general contractors to assume the risk, in particular the design and permitting components are beyond their control. Please consider a more realistic timeline and remove the liquidated damage clause.

***Answer 15: Still under review. A final response to this question will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 71:**

Could you please advise on the Make and Models of plumbing fixtures?

***Answer 71: Plumbing fixtures are included in Addendum 6. Refer documents uploaded as in the bidding system.***

**Question 82**

One of the Building suppliers looking for 31 weeks for installation of Pre Eng Building only and we have Substantial performance is withing 32 weeks (165 working days). Could you please revised the Substantial performance accordingly?

***Answer 82: Still under review. A final response to this question will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 83:**

Please let us know if there are any specific or pre-approved controls subcontractors for controls portion of this project?

***Answer 83: Johnson Controls (or HTS), Siemens, Distech (Modern Niagara) and Automated Logic (Carrier) are included in Specification Section 25 05 02***

**Question 108:**

5. Spec 23 11 23 states an earthquake activated automatic shut-off valve, but it is not shown on the drawing, should we include it?

***Answer 108: The automatic valve is required and is included in Addendum 6 drawing number M-704***

**Question 112:**

Please confirm if 'Carrier Controls' would be an acceptable alternate to the proposed controls contractor list provided

***Answer 112: Carrier Controls is an acceptable alternate controls subcontractor and will be added to specification section 25 05 02.***

**Question 120:**

2) For the Acoustic Wall Panels, the Specification calls for 1-1/2" Thick fabric wrapped panels with a 0.95 NRC, Akustus and EzoBord are PET panels not fabric wrapped. The PET products will have a max NRC of 0.80 with a D-50 mounting. Do you still want pricing for Akustus? Please advise what will be accepted.

***Answer 120: No, pricing for Akustus is not required. Per Section 09 77 13 - 2.3.2. AWP-2 is to be "Acoustical Wall Panel - AP" by Decoustics or Equivalent. The equivalent will need to meet the characteristics outlined in the specification.***

**Question 121:**

Please provide schedule for plumbing fixtures as there was no details provided in drawing and specification other than accepted manufacturer and connection sizes.

***Answer 121: Refer answer 71, included in Addendum 6.***

**Question 123:**

I am writing to request clarification regarding the provision of power and water sources as outlined in SC 13 of Appendix C – Supplementary condition. What specific power and water sources will the Contractor be required to provide if YRP-supplied services are insufficient or unavailable

***Answer 123: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 125:**

Please confirm if the site access road is from the south via Garfield Wright Blvd.

***Answer 125: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 133:**

Our list of potential boiler substitutions are as follows:

- NTI FTG 2000
- Lochinvar Crest FBN1751
- Weil-McLain SVF 2000

Please let me know if any of these would be acceptable.

***Answer 133: The list of approved Boiler Manufacturers was identified in specification section 23 52 16 submitted as part of Addendum 3 and in the schedule on the drawings. Please conform to the referenced documents.***

**Question 137:**

Please consider an extension.

***Answer 137: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 138:**

Our pre-eng trades requested at least a 2-week extension. Please advise if this will be granted.

***Answer 138: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 139:**

Concerning the snowmelt system design, the specified Delta T of 7.2 is significantly lower than the typical range observed for similar applications, which is usually between 20-30. Please confirm if the Delta T of 7.2 is correct, or provide further clarification.

***Answer 139: 7.2 was incorrect, revise specified Delta T to 25 F.***

**Question 140:**

Concerning the snowmelt system, we believe it will be challenging to achieve 200 BTUs per square foot with 9 inch on-center tubing spacing. Our recommendation is to reduce the tubing to ¾ inch diameter pipe at 6 inch on-center spacing to meet the desired BTU load. Please provide guidance on whether this adjustment is acceptable or suggest an alternative approach.

***Answer 140: The heat rejection is less than 200 btu/hr per square foot. Pipe size and spacing is to be determined by snow melt system subcontractor.***

**Question 141:**

1. Appendix C – Form of Contract, Final Article 1.3.1: Substantial Performance of the Contract within 165 working days. Following our review, discussions with various subcontractors and a detailed analysis of their lead times to prepare a reliable schedule, it has become apparent that the project duration will extend to at least 13 months. Accordingly, we respectfully request consideration for an extension of the contract duration to 280 working days, starting from the date the general contractor receives the building permit. Please advise, as this is crucial for ensuring constructability.

***Answer 141: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 142:**

Specs state curtain wall framing, detail 4/A-510 show storefront framing, and detail 1/A-510 shows window framing. Please confirm what type of framing is being used?

***Answer 142: Specification Section 08 51 50 Fibreglass Windows is included as part of Addendum 6. Curtain wall framing is to be provided at interior and exterior aluminum framing for Vestbule 101.***

**Question 144:**

- Addendum 3 states that all glazing shall be double glazed but window type schedule on A-005 states glass to be VG-1 which is triple glazed. Should this tag be VG-2?

***Answer 144: VG-1 as specified in section 08 51 50 Fibreglas Windows is correct and will be updated in Curtain Wall specification section.***

**Question 146:**

- 08 44 00 2.5 Assemblies .3 state clear anodized finish, but 2.7 Finishes state Duranar XL exterior and Duracron interior. Which finish is needed for this project?

***Answer 146: All curtain wall finishes are to be clear anodized. Section 08 44 00 to be updated.***

**Question 147:**

1. At what outdoor air temperature can the ASHP-1 make 50C?

***Answer 147: The specified Air source heat pump ASHP-1 is able to provide 50C at an outdoor temperature of -20 degrees Fahrenheit .***

**Question 148:**

2. Is the AHSP-1 pump intended to interact with the snow melt system? The present design has these two systems functioning independently.

***Answer 148: NO, the two systems are intended to work independently.***

**Question 149:**

3. No instrumentation is shown on the boiler plant detail (M-702/1, Heating Schematic). Without instrumentation control capabilities are limited.

***Answer 149: The control diagram is included as part of Addendum 6 on drawing M-702***

**Question 150:**

4. With the sequence of operations and additional details provided in Addendum 3 some further questions regarding the heating plant operation have been raised. a. The pump names in Addendum 3 details on M-753 are inconsistent. A revised version of the heating plant P&ID from M-702/1 would help provide clarifications.

***Answer 150: The pumps tags have been corrected as part of Addendum 6***

**Question 151:**

5. Depending on the position of the 4-way valve on the snow melt and the 3-way valves on the ASHP1, the snow melt and the air source heat pump loop may need their own expansion tanks as they could be hydraulically isolated from the loop where ET-1 is connected.

***Answer 151: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 152:**

1. The drawings specify that NOx sensors are for diesel nitrogen oxides. Please confirm if nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) gas sensing equipment is sufficient or if detection of nitrogen monoxide (NO) is also required?

***Answer 152: Both nitrogen monoxide (NO) and Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) sensors are required.***

**Question 153:**

2. Please confirm if FCU thermostats (BRCIE73) will be shipped pre-packaged with the unit or if they are to be provided separately by Division 25?

***Answer 153: FCU thermostats can be either shipped as pre-packaged with the unit or provided separately. Please coordinate with the manufacturer.***

**Question 154:**

The Mech drawings didn't show the condensate drain line of the FCUs, please advise the route of these condensate line.

***Answer 154: The condensate lines have been shown in Addendum # 6.***

**Question 159:**

Please specify Window support: "38mm Plywood"

***Answer 159: Details 1 and 2 on drawing A-510 illustrate a 38mm thick plywood box fastened to the structural steel stud framing to support the window which is beyond the studs and to allow the Air/Vapour barrier to be continuous around all openings similar to photographs below.***





**Question 161:**

We have noticed that the following drawings included in Addendum No. 4 appear to be unrelated to the tender documents for this project. Could you please confirm whether these drawings should be disregarded, or advise otherwise?

- 1-T-24-33 - Training Electrical Site Plan E-001\_Addendum 4
- 2-T-24-33 - Training Grading Plan C-102\_Addendum 4
- 3-T-24-33 - Training Grading Plan C-103\_Addendum 4
- 4-T-24-33 - Training servicing plan C-100\_Addendum 4
- 5T-24-33 - Training Site Plan Details E-901\_Addendum 4

***Answer 161: Drawings issued as noted above are for reference in pricing the electrical duct bank added as part of this Addendum***

**Question 162:**

1. Please consider post tender negotiations of the contract. This contract is very onerous based on the value and complexity of the project.

***Answer 162: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 163:**

2. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, Article 4.1. We would like to request clarification that this does not include force majeure events or change orders.

***Answer 163: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 164:**

3. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC 1.1.4. Please reinstate this clause, its removal is contradictory to other sections of this contract. For example, GC 1.1.10 and GC 1.1.12 state that the drawings are the property of the owner and shall remain the property of the owner, if the documents are property of the owner, the GC must be able to rely on the documents provided and is not responsible for errors, omissions, or inconsistencies in the documents.

***Answer 164: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 165:**

4. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC1.7.1. Please delete this clause. It is unreasonable to state that the owner and consultants reviews are only intended to ascertain that the work generally meets the intention of the contract. The contractor is reliant on approvals, direction, and review (as mentioned in many other clauses throughout the contract) from the owner and consultant in order to perform the contract.

***Answer 165: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 166:**

5. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC2.2.12. Please revise 5 working days to read 10 working days to allow for time to obtain pricing and schedule information from our subtrades.

***Answer 166: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 167:**

1. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC3.2. Please clarify what work the owner/Other Contractors intends to perform during the duration of this contract.

***Answer 167: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 168:**

2. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC3.2.6. Any work required by Other Contractors that requires us to provide time and space should be additional to our contract and treated as a change order as we could not reasonably infer when, where, and how Other Contractors will be affecting our working space.

***Answer 168: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 169:**

3. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC3.2.7. As the contractor cannot anticipate the extent of the Other Contractors there should be a change order to allow increase if the contractor must be the constructor that is mutually agreed upon between all parties

***Answer 169: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 170:**

4. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC3.6.4. As this is a lump sum project, unless the trade is negligent, the General Contractor should be compensated for if the change requires the General Contractor to use a more expensive contractor.

***Answer 170: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 171:**

5. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC3.7.6. Please remove the words “shall pay to the owner” and replace with “shall be retained by the owner” as this is standard practice.

***Answer 171: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 172:**

1. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC3.11.1. Please remove this clause. The contractor, once mobilized, will familiarize itself with the exact locations of such utilities, however, during the bidding process, the bidders are not able to confirm locations, therefore, anything that does not match the reports that has affects cost, should be compensated to the contractor through a change order.

***Answer 172: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 173:**

2. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC6.1.6. Please note that depending on the nature of the additional work, general expenses should not be included in the mark-up. As an example, if scope is added that adds a large amount of general expenses (i.e., safety equipment, labour, temporary power) this cannot be expected to be included in the 5% markup as it could be significantly higher. Please confirm that GC6.3.5 overrules GC6.1.6 and allows us to include for the actual costs stated in 6.5.3 with our change orders.

***Answer 173: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 174:**

3. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC6.4.1.1 & 6.4.1.2. As the reports are being added to the General Contractors responsibility to determine concealed or unknown conditions, it is unfair that in Appendix A RFT Particulars, item 5, Examination of the Site, that it

states that we are not able to rely on the completeness or correctness of the reports provided. Please remove Appendix A RFT Particulars, item 5, Examination of the Site.

***Answer 174: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 175:**

4. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC6.4.8. Please delete this clause. A reasonable and prudent contractor would not conduct additional geotechnical, subsurface, or other investigations prior to or after the tender closing as standard practice is to rely on the reports provided by the Owner. Furthermore, if the contractor is responsible to obtain geotechnical information post tender, this would add significant time to the schedule.

***Answer 175: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 176**

5. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC6.5.3. Please revise to read that the Contractor shall be entitled to payment for costs incurred by delays beyond the contractor's control. Currently the clause reads that, for example, the contractor would not be delayed if there was a tornado, and the site was shut down. At minimum there are charges the contractor incurs (i.e., rental of fencing, trailers, etc.) that due to force majeure are beyond the contractor's control. It is unreasonable that the contractor shall pay for costs beyond their control.

***Answer 176: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 177:**

1. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC6.5.3. Please remove "in the Owner's sole opinion" from the last paragraph. The abnormal inclement weather should be determined by the historical weather data and the current weather data, not in the owners sole opinion of inclement weather.

***Answer 177: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 178:**

2. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC6.5.8. Please revise this clause to include the General Contractors staffing costs and general expenses. Should the project be delayed due to Covid (i.e., governmental shut downs, diminished labour force) the contractor should be compensated for additional duration as this was beyond the contractors control.

***Answer 178: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 179:**

3. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC12. Please if the owner intends early occupancy. If so, please provide the details of such.

***Answer 179: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 180:**

4. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC13. Please reinstate mutual indemnification as this is fair language for both parties.

***Answer 180: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 181:**

5. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC14.1.1. Please clarify that the region only would be able to audit books related to this project as some contractors have sensitive/proprietary information for other clients.

***Answer 181: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 182:**

21. Please refer to T-24-33 Appendix C Form of Contract, GC15.1.1. Please clarify the intent. Is there any software that the owner requires?

***Answer 182: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.***

**Question 183:**

please confirm that 6 catch basins and 2 manholes showing out of line on southern street are existing.

***Answer 183: Catch basins and manholes on Garfield Wright Blvd (street south of site) are shown as located on the Lloyd & Purcell Ltd. survey included on drawing A-001 and are existing.***

**Question 184:**

While reviewing the drawings, we have noted the inclusion of a hoist beam. please confirm that supply and installment of the hoist is by general contractor. If so, could you please provide specifications for the hoist, type, and any manufacturer recommendations?

***Answer 184: Only the hoist beam with a capacity of 5000 lbs, all associated hangars and bracing and power supply at wall at end of beam is required as part of this contract. Provision of hoist, electrical controls and hoist installation is by owner.***

**Question 185:**

Referencing Addendum #3 QA81 - Please confirm that the engineered drawing from the Pre-Engineered Manufacturer is not a conditional requirement for building permit approval. Furthermore the context of QA81 is that the SPA and Building Permit will be issued to the general contractor prior to contract award.

***Answer 185: Finalized pre-engineered shop drawings inclusive of all structural components, stamped and signed by a structural engineer are required by the building department as are stamped and signed sprinkler shop drawings. Issuance of the building permit (or conditional or partial permits) and the SPA from East Gwillimbury will be in place prior to Contract award.***

**Question 186:**

The mechanical drawing is missing scale, M150 and M352 are with scale, the rest of pages are not scaled

***Answer 186: Drawing scales have been indicated in the title blocks of drawings issued as part of Addendum 6***

**Question 187:**

1. Please explain locations of Roofing on drawings, for 07 52 16, and 07 54 23 ?

**Answer 187: 07 52 16 Modified Bituminous Roofing is roof type R1. 07 54 23 TPO Membrane Roofing is roof type R3. Both as show on drawings A-005 and A-111**

**Question 188:**

2. There is no Section 07 62 00 SHEET METAL FLASHING AND TRIMS, Instead Standing Seam Roofing is shown?

**Answer 188: Section 07 62 00 is reissued in Addendum 6 with the correct headings. Refer documents uploaded in the bidding system**

**Question 189:**

The Quality assurance qualification on the spec calls for the roofer to be a member with CRCA (SECTION 07 52 16 - 1.8.1.2.1), would IIBEC membership (International Institute of Building Enclosure Consultants) be accepted as an alternative?

**Answer 189: A response will be provided in a subsequent addendum.**

**Question 190:**

1. Soffit Makeup: Detail 2/A500 indicates the soffit to be steel stud, but it also references type R3. Could you please confirm which is correct?

**Answer 190: The canopy assembly shown in detail 2/A-500 includes a TPO membrane on sheathing on metal deck on the pre-eng structure (roof type R3 refer drawing A-005) with metal stud framing and sheathing as required to provide support for the aluminum composite panel (ACP) cladding.**

**Question 191:**

2. Exterior Wall Type: At grid line A and 1, the plan indicates the exterior wall as W1, while wall section 1/A401 shows it as W2. Which designation should we follow?

**Answer 191: The exterior wall along gridline a is exterior wall type W1 which is correct. The detail reference at Gridline A indicates that detail 1/A-401 is SIM (similar) and should have referenced detail 4/A-401**

**Question 192:**

Please advise the sizes of the three Manifold Pit Covers on the Mechanical Site Plan.

**Answer 192: Detail 2/M-805 indicates the pit size as 1800mm x 1800mm. Manifold pit and cover size to be confirmed by snow melting system installer to accommodate manifold, connections and piping distribution. Pit cover (detail 5/M-805) to be installed in precast or cast in place pit.**

**Question 193:**

Referencing Drawing L-001 : Please confirm whether the trees shown on drawing L-001 and listed in the table are outside the scope of the general contractor's work.

***Answer 193: Trees listed “by others’ were the intended boulevard tree planting by East Gwillimbury. They do not currently exist and are not included as part of the work of this contract. Provide only the Plant Material identified at the front of the building.***

**Question 194:**

Referencing Drawing L-001 listed on the table Burnside Street Tree- Plant Material – by others : Please confirm whether the trees shown on drawing L-001 and listed in the table are outside the scope of the general contractor's work

***Answer 194: refer answer 193 above in this addendum***

**Question 195:**

Please clarify the Seed application type Terra Seeding or Hydro Seeding?

**Answer 195: Mechanical seeding is specified in specification section 32 92 00 SEEDING. Sprayed on seeding is not acceptable.**

This addendum shall remain attached to and form part of the Contract Document. Your co-operation in making the above noted changes is much appreciated

Regards,



Moiz Mansoor Ali #50082  
Procurement Analyst, Purchasing Unit  
York Regional Police

cc. File – YRP T-24-33